UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/574,034	05/02/2007	Robert Bucki	46406-0109-01US [222641]	9154
	7590	EXAMINER		
ATTN: INTELI	LECTUAL PROPERT	DEVI, SARVAMANGALA J N		
	SQUARE, SUITE 2000 IA, PA 19103-6996	J	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1645	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/06/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DBRIPDocket@dbr.com penelope.mongelluzzo@dbr.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/574,034	BUCKI ET AL.			
Examiner-initiated interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	S. Devi, Ph.D.	1645			
All Participants:	Status of Application:	<u> </u>			
(1) <u>S. Devi (USPTO)</u> .	(3)				
(2) Attorney Rocque El-Hayek.	(4)				
Date of Interview: <u>3 May 2010</u>	Time:				
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applica Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: Applicants' amendment	·				
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed:					
Claims discussed:					
Prior art documents discussed:					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENEF See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:			
See Continuation Sheet					
Part III.					
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview			
	1:4/A1:42 - D	in Gimmatum if an area into			
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – ii appropriate)			

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Attorney El-Hayek was informed of the non-compliance under 37 CFR 1.121 of Applicants' amendment filed 02242010 with regard to the status identifier used for the non-elected claims. Attorney El-Hayek mentioned of MPEP 821 and 37 CFR 1.142(b) and argued that the non-elected claims are to be withdrawn by the examiner. Attorney El-Hayek's attention was brought to question A2 in the 'Questions and Answers on Revised Amendment Practice' posted on the Office's website and the Office's answer to that question. Applicants would file a supplemental amendment to correct the status identifiers for the non-elected claims.

/S. Devi/ Primary Examiner AU 1645

05-03-2010